UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,802	02/12/2004	Sheng-Ping (Samuel) Zhong	03-235 (4010/67)	5369
27774 MAYER & WI	7590 08/16/201 LLIAMS PC	EXAMINER		
251 NORTH A	VENUE WEST	AHMED, HASAN SYED		
Suite 201 WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/777,802	ZHONG, SHENG-PING (SAMUEL)	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HASAN AHMED	1615	

HAS	SAN AHMED	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (was for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:	ame day as filing a Notice of Ass: (1) an amendment, affidavi vith appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the 	e final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of	y Action, or (2) the date set forth an SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but presented as a final rejection. 	ior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further conside						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);		2 3 3 1 1 7 ,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corre	sponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. So		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Robert A. Wax/					
	Supervisory Patent Exar	niner, Art Unit 1615				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As indicated in the 35 USC 103 rejection, Weber teaches a medical article comprisign a release region, which further comprises a polymeric carrier comprisign a first hydrophobic polymer, drug loaded nanoparticles within the polymeric carrier, layered silicate material, a hdyrophilic therapeutic agent, a hydrophobic first polymer, and a hydrophilic second polymer such as a combination of a polyolefin block copolymer and a hydrophilic polymer such as a polyacrylic polymer. Additionally, as indicated in the 35 USC 103 rejection, the placement of a hydrophilic therapeutic agent and a hydrophilic polymer in the spaces between the adjacent layers of the silicate material is a property of interaction between the silicate and the hydrophilic therapeuitc agent and polymer. As such, examiner respectfully submits that the 35 USC 103 rejection of the final rejection is properly maintained.